10/522602

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

Rec'e Parto 26 HARSTONNI

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

		_	nt's file reference	FOR FURTHER AC	TION See Notifica	ation of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
03511C84								
International application No. PCT/IB 03/02946				International filing date (c 24.07.2003	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 26.07.2002		
Interr	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC							
C08B37/08								
	•							
Appli		ıTD	LIABILITY CO. et al					
JAS	PER		LIABILITY CO. et al					
						A A Due limin om Framinina		
1.	This	intern	ational preliminary examend is transmitted to the	mination report has been applicant according to	n prepared by this i Article 36.	nternational Preliminary Examining		
	Auth	o.ity c	,	. арричини за за за за за				
2.	This	REP	ORT consists of a total of	of 5 sheets, including th	is cover sheet.			
		Thie	report is also accompa	nied by ANNEXES, i.e.	sheets of the descri	iption, claims and/or drawings which have		
	<u> </u>	heer	amended and are the	basis for this report and	<i>l</i> or sheets containin	g rectifications made before this Authority		
İ		(see	Rule 70.16 and Section	n 607 of the Administrati	ve instructions und	er the POT).		
	Thes	e anr	nexes consist of a total	of sheets.				
3.	This	repor	t contains indications re	elating to the following it	ems:	•		
	I	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion					
	11		Priority					
	Ш		Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to n	ovelty, inventive ste	ep and industrial applicability		
	١٧		Lack of unity of invent					
	V 🛭 Reasoned statement under F citations and explanations su		under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wi tions supporting such sta	th regard to novelty atement	, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
	V!		Certain documents cit	ted				
	VII		Certain defects in the	international application	1			
	VIII		Certain observations	on the international appl	ication			
1								
Date	of sub	missio	on of the demand		Date of completion	of this report		
10.02.2004					16.09.2004			
					Authorized Officer			
Nan	ne and i iminarv	mailin exam	g address of the internation ining authority:	паі	Authorized Officer	Salutane Potantes,		
-	<u></u>	Eu	ropean Patent Office 80298 Munich		Gerber, M			
O)) Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d								
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465					Telephone No. +49	89 2399-8528		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IB 03/02946

١.	Basis	of the	report
----	--------------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages					
	1-18		as originally filed				
	Clair	ms, Numbers					
	1-25		as originally filed				
2.	With lang	regard to the langua uage in which the inte	ge, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the mational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	The	se elements were ava	ilable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of a trar	nslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
		• •	cation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
•		the language of a train Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under				
 With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence 			otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the xamination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the inter	national application in written form.				
		filed together with the	international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.					
		The statement that the listing has been furnite	ne information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence shed.				
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				
5.		This report has been been considered to g	established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).				
		(Any replacement sh report.)	eet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this				
6.	Ado	litional observations, i	f necessary:				



International application No.

PCT/IB 03/02946

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

7, 8, 10-18, 20

Claims No:

1-6, 9, 19, 21-25

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-25

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-20

Claims No:

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet



Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP-A-0 555 898 (FIDIA SPA) 18 August 1993

D2: WO 00 01733 A (BELLINI DAVIDE ;FIDIA ADVANCED BIOPOLYMERS

SRL (IT); TOPAI ALESSAN) 13 January 2000

1. Novelty

1.1. D1 anticipates the subject-matter of claims 1-6, 9, 19 and 21-25 (Article 33(2) PCT).

D1 is directed to a medicament comprising a partial or stoichiometrically neutral salt of hylauronic acid with at least one pharmacologically active substance being for instance adenine arabinoside or fluorouracil (see claims 3 and 7). The preparation of the salts is carried out by bringing together solutions or suspensions in water or in organic solvents of the two components or salts thereof (see page 8, lines 5-15, and page 15, lines 21-47).

1.2. The same applies to D2 since this document discloses a salified or simply associated amide derivative of hyaluronic acid with a pharmaceutically active compound derived from purine or pyrimidine (see the passages cited in the international search report and especially page 7, lines 3-6).

2. Inventive step

D1 is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art.

The subject-matter of claim 7 differs from the derivative of hyaluronic acid of D1 in the kind of heterocyclic compound derived from purine and/or pyrimidine chosen to form a salt therewith.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as to provide a further derivative of hyaluronic acid with an heterocyclic compound derived from purine and/or pyrimidine.





The list of compounds of claim 7 is believed to provide the same advantages as in D1. Furthermore, the skilled person would regard it as a normal option to include these compounds in the derivative described in document D1 in order to solve the problem posed. In the absence of an effect over the prior art, an inventive step cannot be acknowledged for the subject-matter of claim 7 (Article 33(3) PCT).

Dependent claims 8 and 10-18, as well as process claim 20 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step.

3. Industrial applicability

- 3.1. The subject-matter of present claims 1-20 appears to comply with the requirements of industrial applicability as stipulated in Article 33(4) PCT.
- 3.2. For the assessment of the present claims 21-25 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.